# When the Milky Way turned-off the lights APOGEE provides evidence of star formation quenching in our Galaxy 
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## The inner disk age-alpha relation



HAYWOOD et al 2013, 2015

Local sample of stars
Adibekyan et al. 2012

Two segments corresponding to two distinct populations: the thin and thick disks


High- $\alpha$ stars have pericentres that can reach R<2kpc, stars originate from all over the inner disk (0-10kpc).

## A local sample, but a general relation



Combined with the small dispersion, implies an homogeneous chemical evolution


HAYWOOD et al 2015

The slope of the age-alpha relation is proportional to the intensity of the SFR
$\Rightarrow$ Fitting the age-alpha relation allows one to recover the SFH of the MW.

If the age-alpha relation is general, so is the recovered SFH Two slopes - two phases of star formation

## Chemical evolution modelling, Snaith et al. 2015

The inner disk ( $\mathrm{R}<8-10 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) as a closed box
= independent of gas accretion
=most of the gas was accreted before significant star formation started

Assumptions
IMF cst time
Homogeneous ISM
Zero initial Z

Ingredients
IMF,
SFR
Yields, etc



The thick disk represents $\mathbf{\sim} 50 \%$ of the disk stellar mass
Confirmed by structural parameters of the MW (short scale length, Bovy+2015, Bovy+2012, Bensby+2011)

## What are the implications?



In a closed-box model (no radially dependent gas accretion), the nucleosynthesis is uniform, small dispersion of alpha abundances

In inside-out models, accretion depends on radius, the SFH is radially dependent (inside-out formation), generating dispersion in alpha incompatible with the observed relation




The SFH in the thick disk was not radially dependent

Consistent with the no-evolution of the thick disk scale length


## SEGUE data,

Bovy et al. 2012


## Conclusions

- The chemical trends (small dispersion in age-alpha relation)
- The structural parameters
- Absence of metallicity gradients in the thick disk (Cheng et al. (2012))
.... are not consistent with an inside-out formation of the thick disk

Confirms the weak dependence of the (thick) disk formation on a radially dependent accretion of gas

Compatible with the observation of MW analogs at high redshift, which form in a self-similar way, see van Dokkum et al. 2013, Morishita et al. 2015

Massive galaxies (M*>1011 Msun), M31 type, formed inside-out, van Dokkum et al. (2010) Morishita et al. (2015)

APOGEE provides evidence of star formation quenching in our Galaxy Haywood et al. astro-ph

## Quenching in galaxies: a few generalities



Transition from the blue cloud to the red sequence

blue cloud
BLUE
Low luminosity
High luminosity

- Transition from the blue cloud to the red sequence is usually thought to be rapid (~ 1 Gyr)
- But quenching timescale for spiral discussed
- Perhaps accompanied by morphological transformation (from disks-dominated to spheroid or bulge-dominated)

Massive galaxies are observed to quench first
For Milky Way type galaxies, quenching occurs at z=1.6 (Morishita et al. 2015)


Milky Way in the green valley,
see Licquia et al. 2015, or Mutch et al. 2011


The MW SFR decreased by more than $\times 10$ in less than 2 Gyr


#  <br> What happened in the Galaxy at this epoch $(z \sim 1)$ ? 

The knee in the relation is evidence that the Galaxy rapidly changed its intensity of star formation.


AGE [GYR]


AGE [GYR]

## Any large scale signature of this event? APOGEE data (Holtmann+2015, Majewski+2015)



Where do we want to measure the SF variations ?


Quenching is measured along the inner disk sequence

$[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$ correlates well with age

Stellar counts in [ $\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] directly reflect the SFH


Selection from APOGEE same as Hayden et al (2015),
no bias expected in the alpha abundance distribution

Distances derived by Schultheis et al. (2014), accuracy to 30-40\%

What Star Formation History is
needed to reproduce the
(bimodal) $[\alpha / M]$ stellar counts?

Increasing SFR with time





## AGE [GYR]

## Two difficulties:

- The dip is absent
- The initial nucleosynthesis not enough to produce low-alpha stars


## Constant SFR






## AGE [GYR]

## Two difficulties:

- The dip is absent
- Initial nucleosynthesis barely enough to produce low-alpha stars


SFH from Snaith et al. (2015)





A fast decrease of the SFR must occur in order to reproduce the dip at $[\alpha /$ Fe] 0.1

## Why is the alpha distribution bimodal ?




Two effects :
Alpha-rich peak (thick disk):
Caused by the important SFR at early times and drop between 10 and 8 Gyr

## Alpha-poor peak (thin disk):

Caused by the slope of the age-alpha relation at age $<7 \mathrm{Gyr}$ : stars accumulate at the same $[\alpha / \mathrm{M}]$


## Other signatures of this « quenching » phase ?



Derivation of the SFH from the white dwarf luminosity function, Rowell (2013)
See also inversions of the HR diagram, Cignoni et al. (2006)


The age scale is different, but the same feature is present

## Is it quenching? probably yes

- It is strong suppression of the SF activity (x10 decrease),
- Related to the thick to thin disk transition
- Occurs at the same epoch/high redshift MW analogues (z=1.6)


## What is the origin of this quenching episode?

- The quenching in the Milky Way occurred on a relatively short time scale. The star formation activity decreased by an order of magnitude to almost zero within less than 2 Gyr.
- The phase of total quenching (SFR=0) itself lasted about 1.5 Gyr.
- There is chemical continuity between the populations formed before and after the quenching episode.
- In extragalactic studies, quenching is often associated to the bulge (but are these really bulges?), in the Milky Way, it is associated to the thick disk.

The chemical continuity between the thin and thick disks implies that gas has not been re-accreted to form the thin disk after the quenching episode

Quenching in the MW was apparently not caused by gas exhaustion

## Connection with the bar ? Extragalactic evidence

Epoch of bar formation for MW-type galaxies z~ 1 (8 Gyr) (Sheth et al. 2008, Melvin et al. 2014): precisely the epoch of the quenching episode in the MW

Also compatible with other clues observed on local galaxies:

- Gas rich galaxies hosting a strong bar are optically redder than similar gas rich galaxies without bars (Masters et al. 2012)
- Bars seem to be responsible for a « star formation desert » (James \& Percival, 2015, 2016) inside corotation


## What origin?

Gas funneled to the galactic center? cf Athanassoula et al. (2013), Gavazzi et al. (2015). Would imply gas replenishment, and high mass concentration in the center: not likely

Could the strong bar maintain high turbulence in the gas and prevent SF activity for some time? Needs further study, both numerical simulations and observations.

## Conclusions:

The MW thick disk did not formed inside-out
The Milky Way quenched its star formation 10-7 Gyr ago
The origin of this quenching episode is not known, but possibly related to the bar

About the continuity of the thick and thin disks:

- there is continuity because the thick and thin disk have continuous chemical properties: the thin disk inherited the chemical traits of the thick disk, they are parent populations
- but they are separated populations, formed at distinct epochs

